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#### Abstract

As an extension of the previous investigation (J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 919), we synthesized a series of 2-[2-[(am-inoalkyl)oxy]-5-methoxyphenyl]-3,4-dihydro-4-methyl-3-oxo-2H-1,4-benzothiazines (3) and evaluated their $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonistic activities. $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonistic activity was measured with isolated depolarized guinea pig taenia cecum. On the basis of their potent $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonistic activity, six benzothiazines were selected and further evaluated for their vasocardioselectivity. Among these six compounds, the key compound 15 [3,4-dihydro-2-[5-methoxy-2-[3[ $N$-methyl- $N$-[2-[3,4-(methylenedioxy)phenoxy]ethyl]amino]propoxy]phenyl]-4-methyl-3-oxo-2H-1,4-benzothiazine hydrogen fumarate] was recognized as having the lowest cardioselectivity. Following optical resolution, the absolute configuration of the compound's optically active enantiomer was determined by means of X-ray crystallography of a synthetic precursor $(+)-4 a$. The $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonistic activity of 15 was found to reside primarily in $(+)-15$ (which was about 7 times more potent than $(-)-15)$. The in vitro study showed that $(+)-15$ had a low cardioselectivity compared to verapamil and diltiazem. This result suggests that $(+)-15$ would exhibit less adverse effects due to cardiac inhibition than diltiazem and verapamil in therapeutic use.


$\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonists, useful in the treatment of angina pectoris, hypertension, and certain cardiac arrhythmias, are classified structurally into two large groups: the non-dihydropyridines, represented by verapamil and diltiazem, and the dihydropyridines, represented by nifedipine and nicardipine. ${ }^{1-3}$ We were interested in both the chemical structures and therapeutic benefit of the former. However, in some patients with impaired ventricular function and/or those undergoing $\beta$-adrenergic blocker therapy, non-dihydropyridine type $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonists caused several adverse effects (cardiac failure, bradycardia, and/or asystole) due to myocardial suppression and conduction disturbances. ${ }^{4-7}$ Accordingly, we anticipate that a novel non-dihydropyridine type $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonist having weak cardiac suppression would be safer than conventional ones such as verapamil and diltiazem in clinical use.

In our previous paper, ${ }^{8}$ on our study of the structureactivity relationship of benzothiazoline derivatives 1 , we reported that 3 -acetyl-2-[5-methoxy-2-[4-[ $N$-methyl- $N$ -(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenethyl)amino]butoxy]phenyl]benzothiazoline (2: SA2572) was a potent $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonist, possessing the same level of activity as diltiazem. Here, we report further developments in our research on new
(1) Fleckenstein, A. Cir. Res. Suppl. 1 1983, 52, 3.
(2) Singh, B. N.; Baky, S.; Nademanee, K. Am. J. Cardiol. 1985, $55,214 \mathrm{~B}$.
(3) Millard, R. W.; Lathrop, D. A.; Grupp, G.; Ashraf, M.; Grupp, I. L.; Schwartz, A. Am. J. Cardiol. 1982, 49, 499.
(4) Singh, B. N.; Ellrodt, G.; Peter, C. T. Drugs 1978, 15, 169.
(5) Opie, L. H. Lancet 1980, I, 806.
(6) Klieman, R. L.; Stephenson, S. H. Q. Rev. Drug Metab. Drug Interact. 1985, 5, 193.
(7) Gibson, R. S.; Boden, W. E.; Theroux, P.; Strauss, H. D.; Pratt, C. M.; Gheorghiade, M.; Capone, R. J.; Crawford, M. H.; Schlant, R. C.; Kleiger, R. E.; Young, P. M.; Schechtman, K.; Perryman, M. B.; Roberts, R. N. Engl. J. Med. 1986, 315, 423.
(8) Yamamoto, K.; Fujita, M.; Tabashi, K.; Kawashima, Y.; Kato, E.; Oya, M.; Iso, T.; Iwao, J. J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 919.
$\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonists. Anticipating a bioisosteric effect, we changed the benzothiazoline nucleus into benzothiazine's and synthesized 2-[2-[(aminoalkyl)oxy]-5-methoxy-phenyl]-3,4-dihydro-4-methyl-3-oxo-2H-1,4-benzothiazine (3).


Compound 15, which was selected from the series of synthesized benzothiazines, was a racemic compound possessing an asymmetric center at the 2-position in the benzothiazine ring. Because differences in the biological activity of enantiomers are often recognized, ${ }^{9}$ we resolved 15 by fractional crystallization to produce ( + )-15 and $(-)-15$. A biological evaluation of both enantiomers in vitro suggests that the potent $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonistic activity of 15 residues stereoselectively in (+)-15.

Chemistry. In the previous publication, ${ }^{10}$ we reported the synthetic method for 3,4-dihydro-2-(2-hydroxy-5-methoxyphenyl)-4-methyl-3-oxo-2H-1,4-benzothiazines
(9) Ariens, E. J. Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 1984, 26, 663.
(10) Fujita, M.; Ota, A.; Ito, S.; Yamamoto, K.; Kawashima, Y. Synthesis 1988, 599.

Table I. 3,4-Dihydro-2-(2-hydroxy-5-methoxyphenyl)-4-methyl-3-oxo-2H-1,4-benzothiazines (4) and 3,4-Dihydro-2-[2-[(haloalkyl)oxy]-5-methoxyphenyl]-4-methyl-3-oxo-2H-1,4-benzothiazines (5)


| compd | $\mathrm{R}^{7}$ | $n$ | Y | $\begin{gathered} \text { yield, }{ }^{a} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{mp},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | recrystn solvent | formula ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4d | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ |  |  | 85 | 135-137 | MeOH | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| 4 e | $\mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ |  |  | 78 | 167-168 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | EtOH | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ |
| 5a | H | 3 | Cl | 88 | 97-99 | EtOH | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ClNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| 5 b | H | 4 | Br | 86 | 103-105 | MeOH | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| 5 c | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 3 | Br | 40 | 107-109 | MeOH | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| 5d | $i-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 3 | Br | 40 | 110-111 | MeOH | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| 5 e | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 3 | Cl | 85 | 67-69 | MeOH | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{ClNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| 5 f | $\mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ | 3 | Cl | 93 | 135-136 | EtOH | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{ClNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Yield for production of target compound from immediate precursor, 6 or $4 a-e .{ }^{b}$ A satisfactory $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, and N analysis for all compounds. ${ }^{c}$ Decomposition.

Table II. 2-[2-[(Aminoalkyl)oxy]-5-methoxyphenyl]-3,4-dihydro-4-methyl-3-oxo-2H-1,4-benzothiazines


| compd | Z | $n$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}: \\ \mathrm{IC}_{60},{ }^{a} \mu \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | $\text { yield, }{ }^{b}$ $\%$ | mp, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | recrystn solvent ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | formula ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 |  | 3 | $0.27 \pm 0.07$ | 60 | 163-165 | $\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{Et}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 8 |  | 4 | $0.35 \pm 0.06$ | 60 | 154-156 | Et | $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 9 |  | 4 | $0.53 \pm 0.09$ | 52 | 149-150 | Et-Wt | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 10 |  | 4 | $0.23 \pm 0.04$ | 61 | 167-168 | Et-Wt | $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 11 |  | 3 | $0.55 \pm 0.09$ | 70 | 144-146 | Et | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{\prime}$ |
| 12 |  | 3 | $0.51 \pm 0.15$ | 62 | 185-187 | Et | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ |
| 13 |  | 3 | $0.23 \pm 0.04$ | 43 | 137-139 | Et-Ac | $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 14 |  | 4 | $0.16 \pm 0.03$ | 51 | 149-151 | Et-Wt | $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{e}$ |
| 15 |  | 3 | $0.18 \pm 0.01$ | 68 | 131-133 | Et | $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 16 |  | 4 | $0.17 \pm 0.03$ | 62 | 149-152 | Me | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{f}$ |

[^0]( $4 a-c$ ). Compound 6 was prepared by chlorination of $4 a$ with sulfuryl chloride. Compounds $4 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{e}$ (Table I) were obtained by the treatment of 6 with methanol or methanethiol. Compounds 7-23 were prepared by substituting halogens of compounds 5a-f (Table I), which were ob-
tained from 4a-e, with appropriate amines ${ }^{11,12}$ (Scheme I). These compounds (7-16) were isolated as hydro-
(11) Kuroda, S.; Koyama, S. Yakugaku Zasshi 1943, 63, 382.
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chlorides, fumarates, or oxalates (Table II). Thiolactam 24 was obtained by treating 15 with Lawesson's reagent ${ }^{13}$ and then isolated as a fumarate salt. Deoxo compound 25 was prepared as described in Scheme II; 4a was treated with calcium borohydride ${ }^{14}$ and 1,3 -dibromopropane consecutively. The result, 27, was then treated with $N$ -methyl- $N$-[2-[3,4-(methylenedioxy)phenoxy]ethyl]amine to yield 25. Compound 25 was isolated as oxalate salt (Table IV).

Optical Resolution of the Racemate 15. Optical resolution of 15 was performed by way of diastereomeric salt formation with use of optically active mandelic acid, followed by fractional recrystallization. Treatment of the resolved amine-mandelic acid salts with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ produced free bases of $(+)-15$ and $(-)-15$, which were iso-

[^1]lated in fumarates (method A) (Table V).
Optical Purity. The enantiomeric purity of ( + )-15 and $(-)-15$ was determined by high-performance liquid chromatography with a chiral column ${ }^{15}$ composed of cellulose carbamate.
Absolute Configuration. Since the crystals of (+)-15 were not suitable for X-ray crystallography, we synthesized $(+)-15$ via chiral synthetic intermediates (Scheme III), and determined the absolute configuration of $(+)-15$ by means of an X-ray crystallographic analysis of a phenol compound $(+)-4 \mathrm{a}$, the synthetic precursor of $(+)$-15.
The racemic compound 4 a was separated as follows: 3 -acyl-2-aryl-4-thiazolidinecarboxylic acid (28), ${ }^{16}$ which possessed a rigid five-membered ring having two asymmetric centers, in the 2 -and 4 -positions, was condensed

[^2]




Scheme IV

with 4a, yielding 29 and 30 . This diastereomeric mixture was suitable for separation. The optically pure phenol compound (+)-4a, which easily racemized under weak alkaline conditions, was obtained by the reduction of 29 by calcium borohydride ${ }^{14}$ (Scheme III). Presumably, the acidity of methine proton at the 2-position was enhanced by a coordination of carbonyl group to counter cation of phenolate anion. The instability of (+)-4a was likely due to this rising acidity (Scheme IV).

Compound ( + )-4a was alkylated via the Mitsunobu reaction ${ }^{17}$ to yield the corresponding bromide ( + )-31. ( + )-31 was then treated with $N$-methyl- $N$-[2-[3,4-(methylenedioxy) phenoxy]ethyl]amine to give the ( + )-15 (method B). By the same procedure, $(-)-15$ was obtained from 30 (Scheme III). It was convinced that the absolute configuration of the chiral center in ( + )-4a was maintained through these reactions. The X-ray crystal structure of $(+)-4 a$, shown in Figure 1, revealed that the absolute configuration of the 2 -position ( C 1 ) was $R$, which corresponded to the configuration of the 2-position in ( + )-15.

## Results and Discussion

$\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonistic activities of benzothiazine derivatives (7-25) were evaluated through in vitro assay by using

[^3]

Figure 1. Molecular structure of $(+)-4 a$ drawn by the pluto program.
isolated depolarized taenia cecum of guinea pigs. $N$ -Methyl- $N$-phenethylamino compounds (7,8), having the same amino group as the benzothiazoline compound (2: SA2572), ${ }^{8}$ displayed the same or slightly less potent activity than diltiazem (Table II). All the tested $N$-methyl- $N$ (phenoxyethyl)amino compounds (9-16), with newly designed amino groups, showed potent $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonistic

Table III. Cardiovascular Effects of Compounds 7, 10, 13-16, (+)-15, Diltiazem, and Verapamil
guinea pig right atria

| compd | guinea pig aorta, $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonistic activity:$\mathrm{IC}_{50},{ }^{a} \mu \mathrm{M}$ | suppressive effect on rate of contraction |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | suppressive effect on contractile force |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}{ }^{\text {b }}$, $\mu \mathrm{M}$ | ratio $^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}{ }^{\text {d }}{ }^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{M}$ | ratio ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 7 | 2.4 (1.3-4.6) | 0.40 (0.17-0.94) | 6.0 | 0.52 (0.17-1.6) | 4.6 |
| 10 | 2.9 (1.5-5.5) | 0.60 (0.21-1.7) | 4.8 | 0.44 (0.19-1.0) | 6.6 |
| 13 | 4.1 (1.1-15) | 0.50 (0.22-1.1) | 8.2 | 0.37 (0.18-0.77) | 11.1 |
| 14 | 1.5 (0.96-2.3) | 0.19 (0.09-0.41) | 7.9 | 0.20 (0.096-0.41) | 7.5 |
| 15 | 0.66 (0.32-1.4) | 0.59 (0.40-0.85) | 1.1 | 0.31 (0.19-0.50) | 2.1 |
| 16 | 0.33 (0.19-0.55) | 0.19 (0.11-0.32) | 1.7 | 0.094 (0.066-0.13) | 3.5 |
| (+)-15 (sesamodil) | 0.23 (0.17-0.31) | 0.18 (0.13-0.23) | 1.3 | 0.068 (0.054-0.086) | 3.4 |
| diltiazem | 4.5 (2.8-7.1) | 0.60 (0.46-0.78) | 7.5 | 0.095 (0.063-0.14) | 47.4 |
| verapamil | 0.62 (0.42-0.91) | 0.21 (0.14-0.33) | 3.0 | 0.035 (0.027-0.046) | 17.7 |

${ }^{a}$ Molar concentration required to block $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$-induced contraction of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-depolarized guinea pig aorta by $50 \%$. Each value indicates the mean and $95 \%$ confidence limits ( $n=3-6$ ). ${ }^{b}$ Molar concentration required to suppress the rate of contraction of guinea pig right atria by $50 \%$. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Ratio of ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonistic activity in aorta)/( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for suppressive effect on rate of contraction). ${ }^{d}$ Molar concentration required to suppress contractile force of guinea pig right atria by $50 \%$. ${ }^{e}$ Ratio of ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonistic activity in aorta)/( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for suppressive effect on contractile force).

Table IV. Related Compounds of Compound 15


| compd | $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ | A | $\mathrm{R}_{7}$ | X | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \\ \mathrm{IC}_{50}{ }^{a} \mu \mathrm{M} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { yield, }^{b} \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{mp},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | recrystn solvent | formula | anal. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0 | $2.1 \pm 0.2$ | 88 | 133-134 | AcOEt | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | C,H,N |
| 18 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0 | $i-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 0 | $>10$ | 59 | 111-112 | AcOEt | $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | C,H,N |
| 19 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | 0 | $0.25 \pm 0.06$ | 62 | amorph | - | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | ,H,N |
| 20 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ | 0 | $1.5 \pm 0.4$ | 70 | amorph | - | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }_{4}$ | $f$ |
| 21 | H | 0 | H | 0 | $0.49 \pm 0.09$ | 44 | 176-177 | EtOH-AcOEt | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{4}$ | C,H,N |
| 22 | $i$ - $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 0 | H | 0 | $1.9 \pm 0.6$ | 51 | amorph | - | $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ |  |
| 23 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | H | 0 | $>10$ | 56 | 107-109 | AcOEt | $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{\text {d }} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C,H,N |
| 24 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0 | H | S | $>10$ | 24 | 143-145 | EtOH-AcOEt | $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | C,H,N |
| 25 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0 | H | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $>10$ | 47 | 101-102 ${ }^{h}$ | EtOH | $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | C,H,N |

${ }^{a}$ See footnote $a$ in Table II. ${ }^{b}$ Yield for production of target compound from immediate precursor, 5a,c-f, 15, or 27. ${ }^{c}$ Hydrogen fumarate. ${ }^{d}$ Hydrogen oxalate. ${ }^{e} m / z\left(E I, M^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right.$ ) calcd 566.2085 , found 566.2083 . ${ }^{1} m / z$ (EI, M ${ }^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ) calcd 582.1856 , found 582.1869. ${ }^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{EI}, \mathrm{M}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ calcd 564.2292 , found $564.2306 .{ }^{h}$ Decomposition.

Table V. Enantiomer of Compound 15

| compd | $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ <br> $\mathrm{IC}_{50},{ }^{a} \mu \mathrm{M}$ | configuration <br> of 2-position | $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{deg}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$ | $\mathrm{mp},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | recrystn <br> solvent | anal. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(+)-15$ (sesamodil) | $0.089 \pm 0.018$ | $R$ | +195 | $134-135$ | EtOH | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ |
| $(-)-15$ | $0.60 \pm 0.06$ | $S$ | -195 | $134-135$ | EtOH | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ |

${ }^{a}$ See footnote a in Table II.
activities. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of these compounds were in the order of $10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$, almost the same as diltiazem. Six compounds ( $7,10,13-16$ ) of this benzothiazine series were further evaluated in vitro for their vasocardioselective activities with use of guinea pig aorta and right atria (Table III). Compounds 15 and 16 showed more potent activity than other benzothiazines in the aorta, but they showed similar activity in the taenia cecum. The methylenedioxy group in the amine moiety probably plays an important role in the potent $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonistic activity in the aorta. In terms of cardio-suppressive effects, compounds 14 and 16 displayed the same order of potency. When the vasocardioselectivity was expressed as ratios of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonistic activity in aorta to $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for the rate of contraction or contractile force in atria, compound 15 showed the smallest value among the benzothiazines, diltiazem, and verapamil. This result indicated that 15 had the highest selectivity for vasodilation among the compounds tested. In light of the adverse effects of the $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonists due to cardiosuppression, ${ }^{4-7}$ the lesser
cardioselectivity is expected to be safer. Accordingly, we selected 15 as the key compound.
To find more potent $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonists, compounds related to 15 were examined further. We introduced a methyl, isopropyl, methoxy, or methylthio group into the 2-position of 15, as in compounds 17-20 and examined the activity of the derivatives. As shown in Table IV, the moieties at the 2 -position affected the activity markedly. The potencies were in the following order: $\mathrm{H}>\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}>$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~S}>\mathrm{CH}_{3}>\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$. When the methyl group in the N -methyl- N -(phenoxyethyl)amino moiety was replaced with a hydrogen atom, as in 21, or with an isopropyl group as in 22, the activity also declined. Replacement of the ring oxygen atoms in the 3,4-(methylenedioxy)phenyl moiety in 15 by methylenes, as in the [(indanyloxy)ethyl]amino compound 23, again diminished activity dramatically. Moreover, conversion of the carbonyl group at the 3 -position, as in the thiolactam 24 and the deoxo 25 compounds, also resulted in substantially reduced activity. These structural modifications of 15 did not im-
prove its $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonistic activity.
In the in vitro study on the enantiomers of $15,(+)-15$ was about 7 times more potent than ( - )-15 (Table V). It is well known that the pharmacological activities of the $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonists, such as verapamil, ${ }^{18-20}$ diltiazem, ${ }^{21}$ and 1,4 -dihydropyridine derivatives, ${ }^{22-24}$ are stereoselective. The activities of enantiomers of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonists have been reported to be different, $2-20$-fold. ${ }^{18-23}$ The difference between the activities of $(+)-15$ and $(-)-15$ was in the same order as other $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonists.
The higher activity of $(+)-15$ than $(-)-15$ indicated that $(+)-15$ was more potent than the racemic compound 15 . This was confirmed with several in vitro assay systems using aorta and atria of guinea pig (Table III).

## Conclusion

Compound ( + )- $R-15$ was found out from studies on benzothiazine derivative 3 as a novel $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonist. In studies with isolated smooth and cardiac muscle, the pharmacological profile of ( + )-15 showed a greater selectivity for vascular smooth muscle than such other wellknown non-dihydropyridine type $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonists as diltiazem and verapamil. Because the cardio-suppressive effects of the non-dihydropyridines sometimes yield such side effects as bradycardia and atrioventricular block, the pharmacological profile of $(+)$ - 15 is particularly interesting. Research findings suggest that $(+)-15$ will yield fewer side effects in therapeutic use.

Compound ( $(+)-15$ : sesamodil $\left.{ }^{25}\right)$, a novel non-dihydropyridine type $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonist, is currently undergoing clinical evaluation.

## Experimental Section

Chemistry. Melting points were determined in open glass capillaries with a Yamato MP-21 melting point apparatus and were uncorrected. Elemental analyses were performed by a Yanagimoto MT-3 CHN Corder elemental analyzer. IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO A-302 infrared spectrophotometer. Mass spectra were obtained by using a Hitachi M-80B spectrometer in the EI mode with samples introduced directly into the ion source for spectral determination. NMR spectra were measured by a JEOL PMX-60 spectrometer and a JEOL GSX-400 spectrometer with tetramethylsilane as the internal standard. Compounds 15 and 29 are numerically represented in NMR spectra as follows:


Merck silica gel 60 ( $70-230$ mesh) was used for a column chromatography.

2-Chloro-3,4-dihydro-2-(2-hydroxy-5-methoxyphenyl)-4-
(18) Satoh, K.; Yanagisawa, T.; Taira, N. J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol. 1984, 2, 309.
(19) Ferry, D. R.; Glossmann, H.; Kaumann, A. J. Br. J. Pharmacol. 1985, $84,811$.
(20) Au, T. L. S.; Curtis, M. J.; Walker, M. J. A. J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol. 1987, 10, 327.
(21) Nagao, T.; Sato, M.; Nakajima, H.; Kiyomoto, A. Jpn. J. Pharmacol. 1972, 22, 1.
(22) Towart, R.; Wehinger, E.; Meyer, H. Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmacol. 1981, 317, 183.
(23) Towart, R.; Wehinger, E.; Meyer, H.; Kazda, S. Arzneim.Forsch. 1982, 32, 1338.
(24) Hof, R. P.; Hof, A.; Rüegg, U. T.; Cook, N. S.; Vogel, A. J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol. 1986, 8, 221.
(25) This generic name was registered in Japanese Accepted Name (JAN).
methyl-3-oxo-2H-1,4-benzothiazine (6). To a stirred suspension of 3,4-dihydro-2-(2-hydroxy-5-methoxyphenyl)-4-methyl-3-oxo$2 H-1,4$-benzothiazine ( 4 a ) ( $14.0 \mathrm{~g}, 46.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry dichloromethane ( 150 mL ) was added dropwise at $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ sulfuryl chloride $(6.59 \mathrm{~g}, 48.8 \mathrm{mmol})$. Stirring was continued at room temperature for 1 h . The precipitated crystals were filtered to yield 12.7 g ( $79 \%$ ) of 6 . This preparation was used in the next step without further purification because of its instability: $\mathrm{mp} 121-128^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}$; IR ( KBr ) $3248(\mathrm{OH}), 1650(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1583(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1496(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1037$ $(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 3.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 3.67$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $6.4-7.7(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic), $9.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH})$.
3,4-Dihydro-2-(2-hydroxy-5-methoxyphenyl)-2-methoxy-4-methyl-3-oxo-2H-1,4-benzothiazine (4d). Compound 6 (14.0 $\mathrm{g}, 41.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . The precipitated crystals were filtered, yielding $11.8 \mathrm{~g}(85 \%)$ of $4 \mathrm{~d}: \mathrm{mp} 135-137^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) 3436(\mathrm{OH}), 1665(\mathrm{C}=0), 1499(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1467(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1032$ ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ ) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.07\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ ), 3.31 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), $3.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ ), 6.4-7.4 (m, 7 H , aromatic), 8.90 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ).

2-[2-(3-Chloropropoxy)-5-methoxyphenyl]-3,4-dihydro-4-methyl-3-oxo-2H-1,4-benzothiazine (5a). A solution of 4a (30.1 $\mathrm{g}, 0.10 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry DMF ( 60 mL ) was added dropwise to a suspension of sodium hydride ( $60 \%$ mineral oil dispersion) $(4.80 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.12 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry DMF $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min . A solution of 1-bromo-3chloropropane ( $18.9 \mathrm{~g}, 0.12 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dry DMF ( 30 mL ) was added to the mixture with further stirring at room temperature for 2 h. The resulting mixture was poured into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(700 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then extracted with AcOEt. The extract was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and then concentrated at reduced pressure. The precipitated crystals were washed with MeOH to yield 33.3 $\mathrm{g}\left(88 \%\right.$ ) of $5 \mathrm{a}: \mathrm{mp} 97-99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 1653(\mathrm{C}=0), 1582(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$, $1497(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1238,1048(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}), 1023(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}), 758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.19\left(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 3.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.69\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right), 4.06$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{H}), 6.4-7.4(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic).

3,4-Dihydro-2-[5-methoxy-2-[3-[ $N$-methyl- $N$-[2-[3,4-(methylenedioxy) phenoxy]ethyl]amino]propoxy]phenyl]-4-methyl-3-oxo- 2 H -1,4-benzothiazine Hydrogen Fumarate (15). To a mixture of $5 \mathrm{a}(11.3 \mathrm{~g}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $N$-methyl $-N$-[2[ 3,4 -(methylenedioxy)phenoxylethyl]amine ( $6.15 \mathrm{~g}, 31.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(7.56 \mathrm{~g}, 90.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaI}(8.99 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \mathrm{mmol})$, and then the mixture was stirred at $70-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . After cooling, the mixture was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and then concentrated in vacuo. The residual oil was chromatographed on silica gel with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}(50 / 1)$ to yield the free amine of 15 as oil. Its fumarate was prepared by the addition of a solution of a slightly excess amount of fumaric acid in EtOH to a solution of the free amine in AcOEt. The precipitated crystals were filtered and recrystallized from EtOH, yielding $13.3 \mathrm{~g}(68 \%)$ of 15: IR ( KBr ) $1654(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1498(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1474(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1239,1207,1184,1033$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 1.92(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 2.73(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $2.86\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ ), $3.48(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-4 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.00\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, $4.01\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{~s}, 2$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 6.32 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.4,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.32 (d, 1 $\left.\mathrm{H}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-6^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right), 6.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{H}\right), 6.59$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCO}_{2} \times 2\right), 6.75\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{H}\right.$ ), 6.78 (dd, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.9,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-4^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right), 6.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $7.02(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-7 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{H})$, $7.33(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-8 \mathrm{H})$, 10.93 (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H} \times 2$ ).
(+)-(R)- and (-)-(S)-3,4-Dihydro-2-[5-methoxy-2-[3-[ $N$ -methyl- $\boldsymbol{N}$-[2-[3,4-(methylenedioxy)phenoxy]ethyl]amino]-propoxy]phenyl]-4-methyl-3-oxo-2H-1,4-benzothiazine Hydrogen Fumarate ( $(+)$ - 15 (Sesamodil Fumarate) and ( - -15) (Method A). To a solution of free amine of $15(10.73 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0$ mmol ) in acetone ( 15 mL ) were added ( + )-mandelic acid ( 1.52 $\mathrm{g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and cyclohexane ( 18 mL ). After the mixture was stirred for 1 day at room temperature, the precipitate was formed. This solid was collected and dried, yielding 5.92 g of a white
powder. This ( + )-mandelate salt was recrystallized from acetone, yielding 4.40 g of white crystals: $\mathrm{mp} 114-115^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{25}(c=1.1$, $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)+206^{\circ}$. The filtrate of the first precipitate was concentrated at reduced pressure. The residual oil was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$, treated with $0.5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with brine, and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After removal of the solvent, the residue was dissolved in acetone ( 14 mL ). ( - )-Mandelic acid ( 1.52 $\mathrm{g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, and cyclohexane $(14 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to the solution. The mixture was then stirred for 1 h at room temperature and left standing for 2 h in a refrigerator, yielding a precipitate. The solid was collected and dried to give 5.97 g of white powder. This (-)-mandelate salt was recrystallized from acetone, yielding 4.67 g of white crystals: $\mathrm{mp} 114-115^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}\left(c=1.0, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$ $-214^{\circ}$. A suspension of $(+)$-mandelate salt ( $4.40 \mathrm{~g}, 6.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(27 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, washed with brine, and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After removal of solvent, the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{AcOEt}(9 \mathrm{~mL})$. A hot solution of fumaric acid ( $0.74 \mathrm{~g}, 6.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in EtOH ( 18 mL ) was added to this solution. After the mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature and left standing for 10 h in a refrigerator, a precipitate formed. Recrystallization of the precipitate from $\mathrm{EtOH}(18 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave $3.38 \mathrm{~g}(26 \%$ from 15) of $(+)-15(100 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric purity was determined by a chiral column (CHIRALCEL OG). HPLC conditions were as follows: mobile phase, EtOH-hex-ane- $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\left(450 / 50 / 1\right.$ ); flow rate, $1.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$; column temperature, $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; detection wavelength, 300 nm . Retention time of $(+)-15$ in the chromatogram was 12.4 min: IR (KBr) 1654 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1498(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1478,1239(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}), 1184,1034(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum exhibited the same resonances as that of 15 . According to the same procedure, $(-)$-mandelate salt (4.67 $\mathrm{g}, 6.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was converted to $3.59 \mathrm{~g}(28 \%$ from 15$)$ of ( - )-15 $(100 \%$ ee). Retention time of $(-)-15$ in the chromatogram was 5.8 min: The IR and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were identical with those of the enantiomer.

3,4-Dihydro-2-[5-methoxy-2-[3-[ $\boldsymbol{N}$-methyl- $\boldsymbol{N}$-[2-[3,4-(methylenedioxy) phenoxy]ethyl]amino]propoxy]phenyl]-4-methyl-3-thioxo-2H-1,4-benzothiazine Hydrogen Fumarate (24). To a stirred solution of free amine of $15(1.61 \mathrm{~g}, 3.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene ( 8 mL ) was added Lawesson's reagent ( $0.67 \mathrm{~g}, 1.65$ mmol ), and stirring was continued at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The mixture was poured into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with AcOEt. The extract was washed with 2 N HCl , saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and then concentrated at reduced pressure. The residual oil was chromatographed on silica gel with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}(100 / 1)$ to yield the free amine of 24 as oil. To a solution of the oil in AcOEt was added a slightly excess amount of fumaric acid in EtOH. Precipitated crystals were filtered to give $0.48 \mathrm{~g}(24 \%)$ of 24: IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) 1480(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}), 1462(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1370$, $1238,1222,1033(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 1.6-2.4(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 2.6-3.1(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), $3.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-4 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.8-4.3\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \times 2\right), 4.04$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{H}), 5.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 6.0-7.8$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}\right.$, aromatic), $6.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCO}_{2} \times 2\right), 10.5-10.9(\mathrm{br}, 2$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H} \times 2$ ).

3,4-Dihydro-2-(2-hydroxy-5-methoxyphenyl)-4-methyl$2 H-1,4$-benzothiazine (26). $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)_{2}$ was prepared in situ by the addition of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(13.3 \mathrm{~g}, 351 \mathrm{mmol})$ to a stirred suspension of $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}(16.6 \mathrm{~g}, 150 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(600 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Compound $4 \mathrm{a}(18.0 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the suspension of $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)_{2}$ in THF gradually at room temperature, and the mixture was refluxed with stirring for 24 h . After cooling, the reaction mixture was treated with brine ( 200 mL ), and THF was evaporated at reduced pressure. The mixture was extracted with AcOEt. The extract was washed with brine ( 100 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel with benzene-AcOEt (20/1) to yield 9.33 g ( $54 \%$ ) of 26 : $\mathrm{mp} \mathrm{111-113}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) $3288(\mathrm{OH}), 1586(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1495$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1033(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right)$, 3.23 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0,13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0$, $13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.67 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 4.61 (t, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-2$ H ), 6.4-7.3 (m, 7 H , aromatic), 7.60 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-[2-(3-Bromopropoxy)-5-methoxyphenyl]-3,4-dihydro-4-methyl-2H-1,4-benzothiazine (27). A solution of 26 ( 4.00 g , 13.9 mmol ) in dry DMF ( 12 mL ) was added dropwise to a suspension of sodium hydride ( $60 \%$ mineral oil dispesion) $(0.67 \mathrm{~g}$,
$16.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirring at room temperature for 30 min . A solution of 1,3-dibromopropane $(8.40 \mathrm{~g}, 41.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 5 mL ) was then added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2.5 h . The mixture was treated with $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with AcOEt. The organic layer was washed with 2 N NaOH and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and then concentrated at reduced pressure. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel with hexane-AcOEt (3/1) to give 2.73 g ( $48 \%$ ) of 27 as oil: IR (film) $1586(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1493(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$, $1283,1218,1042(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.31(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 3.4-3.8(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.06(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), $4.83(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{H}), 6.4-7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic). A portion was converted to the HCl salt and recrystallized from EtOH: mp $172-173^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{BrN}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3,4-Dihydro-2-[5-methoxy-2-[3-[ $N$-methyl- $N$-[2-[3,4-(methylenedioxy) phenoxy]ethyl]amino]propoxy]phenyl]-4-methyl-2H-1,4-benzothiazine Hydrogen Oxalate (25). To a mixture of $27(1.53 \mathrm{~g}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $N$-methyl- $N$-[2-[3,4-(methylenedioxy) phenoxy]ethyl]amine ( $0.70 \mathrm{~g}, 3.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF ( 6 mL ) was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.78 \mathrm{~g}, 5.44 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was then stirred at $60-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After cooling, the mixture was poured into $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with AcOEt. The aqueous layer was treated with $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with AcOEt. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated in vacuo. The residual oil was chromatographed on silica gel with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}(20 / 1)$ to yield the free amine of 25 as oil. The oxalate was prepared by the addition of a slightly excess amount of oxalic acid in EtOH to a solution of the free amine in AcOEt. The precipitated crystals were filtered and recrystallized from EtOH to give $1.07 \mathrm{~g}(47 \%)$ of 25: IR (KBr) $1714(\mathrm{C=O}), 1609(\mathrm{C=C}), 1583(\mathrm{C=}=\mathrm{C}), 1032$ $(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 1.8-2.4(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 2.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 2.9-3.7$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.8-4.4(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \times 2$ ) , $4.74(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 6.2-7.2\left(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}\right.$, aromatic), $10.32\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right.$ $\times 2$ ).
(2S,4S)-3-Acetyl-5,5-dimethyl-2-(2-methoxyphenyl)-4thiazolidinecarboxylic Acid (28). A solution of 2-methoxybenzaldehyde ( $40.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.30 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a stirred solution of D-penicillamine ( $44.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.30 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(500$ mL ) at room temperature. Stirring was continued at the same temperature for 1 h and then at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The precipitated crystals were filtered, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{EtOH}(8 / 2)$ and dried to yield 63.7 g of (4S)-5,5-dimethyl-2-(2-methoxyphenyl)-4-thiazolidinecarboxylic acid that was pure enough for the following reaction. To a stirred suspension of this compound ( $30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.11$ mol ) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ acetic anhydride ( 63.6 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.67 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and the mixture was stirred at this temperature for 10 min , then at room temperature for 30 min , and finally at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The precipitated solid was collected by filtration, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and dried. Recrystallization from $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gave 31.1 g (total yield $71 \%$ of 28 : $\mathrm{mp} 215-217^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{dec} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}$ ( $c=1.0, \mathrm{MeOH})-170^{\circ}$; IR (KBr) 2916, $1740(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1585(\mathrm{C}=0)$, $1490,1437 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.61(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 3.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 6.7-7.4(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic), 8.11 (dd, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 10.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$(2 R)$ - and ( $2 S$ )-2-[2-[[[(2S,4S)-3-Acetyl-5,5-dimethyl-2-(2-methoxyphenyl)thiazolidin-4-yl]carbonyl]oxy]-5-meth-oxyphenyl]-3,4-dihydro-4-methyl-3-oxo-2H-1,4-benzothiazine $(29,30)$. To a stirred solution of $4 \mathrm{a}(202.7 \mathrm{~g}, 673 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 28 ( $312.3 \mathrm{~g}, 1.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in DMF ( 850 mL ) were added under ice cooling a solution of $N, N^{\prime}$-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide ( $208.3 \mathrm{~g}, 1.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in DMF ( 500 mL ) and 4-(dimethylamino) pyridine ( $13.3 \mathrm{~g}, 1.01$ mol ), and the mixture was stirred at this temperature for 4 h . The mixture was poured into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{AcOEt}(6 / 1,7 \mathrm{~L})$, and then oxalic acid ( $30.3 \mathrm{~g}, 337 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added while stirring at room temperature for 15 min . Precipitated solid was removed by filtration. The filtrate was separated into two layers, and the aqueous layer was extracted with AcOEt. The pooled organic phases were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated, yielding a brown oil. The residue was chromatographed on silica
gel with hexane-benzene-AcOEt (1/5/2), yielding $171.6 \mathrm{~g}(48 \%)$ of 29 and $179.6 \mathrm{~g}(45 \%)$ of 30 . Compound 29: mp $165-166^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (AcOEt/hexane); $[\alpha]^{25}$ D $\left(c=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)-108^{\circ}$; IR (KBr) 1756 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), $1653(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1488(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1373 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 1.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ ), 3.48 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-4 \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $3.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ ), 3.85 (s, 3 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 4.88 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{H}\right), 6.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{H}\right), 6.7-7.5(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic), $8.05(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5$, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-6^{\prime \prime \prime} \mathrm{H}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$. Compound 30: amorphous powder; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}\left(c=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)-109^{\circ}$; IR (KBr) 1755 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1646(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1457(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1376 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 1.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ ), 3.42 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-4 \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $3.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.78$ (s, 3 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $4.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{H}), 4.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{H}\right), 6.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{H}\right), 6.5-7.5(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic), 8.01 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5$, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{-6}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime} \mathrm{H}$ ); EIMS $m / z 592.1694$ (calcd 592.1700).
(+)-(R)-3,4-Dihydro-2-(2-hydroxy-5-methoxyphenyl)-4-methyl-3-oxo-2H-1,4-benzothiazine ((+)-4a). $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)_{2}$ was prepared in situ by the addition of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(58.6 \mathrm{~g}, 1.55 \mathrm{~mol})$ to a stirred suspension of $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}(179.8 \mathrm{~g}, 1.62 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry THF (460 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A cooled solution of $29(183.1 \mathrm{~g}, 309 \mathrm{mmol})$ in EtOH (1.2 L) and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(165.8 \mathrm{~g}, 3.10 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added to the suspension of $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)_{2}$ in THF at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and stirring was continued for 12 h at the same temperature. The mixture was treated with 1 $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{HCl}(2.5 \mathrm{~L})$, concentrated at reduced pressure, and extracted with AcOEt. The extract was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and then concentrated at reduced pressure. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel with hexane-AcOEt- $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(1 / 1 / 8)$ and recrystallized from benzene to yield $69.9 \mathrm{~g}(75 \%)$ of (+)-4a: $\operatorname{mp} 161-162^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}\left(c=1.17, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)+40^{\circ}$; IR (KBr) 3176 $(\mathrm{OH}), 1621(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1500(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1424 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 3.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-4 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-2$ H ), 6.5-7.7 (m, 7 H , aromatic), 7.55 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(+)-( $\boldsymbol{R})$-3,4-Dihydro-2-[2-(3-bromopropoxy)-5-methoxy-phenyl]-4-methyl-3-oxo-2H-1,4-benzothiazine ((+)-31). A mixture of compound ( + )-4a ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 33.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and diethyl azodicarboxylate ( $17.4 \mathrm{~g}, 99.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry dimethoxyethane-THF $(10 / 1,55 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to a stirred mixture of 3 -bromo-1-propanol ( $13.8 \mathrm{~g}, 99.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triphenylphosphine $(26.1 \mathrm{~g}, 99.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere at $0-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 25 min . The mixture was treated with $0.5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(30$ mL ) and concentrated at reduced pressure. The mixture was then dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, washed with brine, dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated at reduced pressure. Precipitated crystals were removed and the filtrate was chromatographed on silica gel with hexane-benzene-AcOEt (5/15/1). Recrystallization from $i$ - PrOH yielded $2.53 \mathrm{~g}(18.1 \%)$ of $(+)-31: \operatorname{mp~} 78-79^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}\left(c=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ $+198^{\circ}$; IR (KBr) $1647(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1584(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1492(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1465$, $1357 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.30(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-4 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.57(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}\right), 3.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.07\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.99(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.4-7.4 (m, 7 H , aromatic). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ ) C, H, N.
$(+)-15$ (Method B). To a stirred solution of (+)-31 (2.43 g, $5.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone ( 60 mL ) was added $\mathrm{NaI}(8.62 \mathrm{~g}, 57.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the mixture was refluxed for 20 h . After removal of the solvent, the residue was dissolved in AcOEt, washed with water and brine, and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was evaporated, leaving a residue. To a stirred solution of this residue in dry DMF ( 25 mL ) were added $N$-methyl- $N$-[2-[3,4-(methylenedioxy)phenoxy]ethyl]amine ( $1.46 \mathrm{~g}, 7.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( 0.58 g , 5.75 mmol ), and the mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . The
mixture was poured into water and extracted with AcOEt. The extract was then washed with brine and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent was evaporated off. The residual oil was chromatographed on silica gel with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}(50 / 1)$ to yield the free amine of $(+)-15$ as oil. The fumarate was prepared by adding a slightly excess amount of fumaric acid in EtOH to a solution of the free amine in AcOEt. Precipitated crystals were filtered and recrystallized from EtOH to give $2.11 \mathrm{~g}(56.2 \%)$ of $(+)-15(9.0 \%$ ee).

X-ray Crystallography. X-ray crystallographic data were obtained on the $(+)-4 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{FW}=301.36$. Crystals of $(+)$-4a were grown in benzene by allowing the solvent to evaporate slowly at room temperature. A clear, colorless crystal, $0.35 \times 0.20$ $\times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$, was used for the structural determination. A least-squares refinement, using 25 centered reflections within 40.2 $<2 \theta<56.2^{\circ}$, gave the orthorhombic cell $a=11.298$ (2) $\AA, b=$ 28.100 (2) $\AA, c=9.075$ (1) $\AA, V=2881.4$ (7) $\AA^{3}, Z=8$ and $D_{\text {calod }}$ $=1.389 \mathrm{gm} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. A computer-controlled diffractometer (Rigaku AFC5R, with $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation, wavelength $=1.54178 \AA$ ), with an incident beam graphite monochromator, was used for data collection. A total of 5111 reflections were measured in the $\omega$ $2 \theta$ mode to $2 \theta_{\max }=118.1^{\circ}$. Corrections were applied for Lorentz and polarization effects. The structure was solved by direct methods with the aid of the program TEXSTAN, ${ }^{26}$ and refined by using the full-matrix least-squares program TEXSTAN. ${ }^{26}$ The 500 parameters refined include the coordinates and anisotropic thermal parameters for all nonhydrogen atoms. Hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically. The final $R$ factors for the 2239 reflections ( $I>3 \sigma(I)$ ) observed were $R=0.038$ and $R_{\mathrm{w}}=0.052$.
$\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ Antagonistic Activity. Isolated taenia cecum and aorta (about 1.5 cm , from male Hartley guinea pigs weighing 300-450 g) were suspended in a $20-\mathrm{mL}$ organ bath with Krebs-Hensleit solution at $31 \pm 1$ and $37 \pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. The medium was bubbled with $5 \%$ carbon dioxide in oxygen. After equilibration, the muscle was washed with $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$-free high-K ${ }^{+}$Krebs solution. The muscle was exposed to test compounds for 30 min before addition of $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}\left(2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right)$. The contraction evoked by $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ was recorded isotonically. The $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ antagonistic activity was represented by the concentration of the test compound that elicited $50 \%$ inhibition of $\mathrm{Ca}^{+}$-evoked contraction ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ).

Effect on Isolated Right Atria of Guinea Pigs. Isolated right atria were suspended in a 20 mL organ bath with KrebsHenseleit solution at $34 \pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and bubbled with $5 \%$ carbon dioxide in oxygen. The contractile force was measured isometrically with a force-displacement transducer, and the rate of contraction was measured with a heart rate counter (Nihon Kohden, AT-600G). After equilibration, drugs were added cumulatively to the organ baths. The effects on the contractile force and rate of contraction were represented by the concentration required to produce $50 \%$ inhibition ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ).
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(26) TEXSTAN-TEXRAY Structure Analysis Package, Molecular Structure Corporation, 1985.


[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ Molar concentration required to block $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$-induced contraction of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-depolarized guinea pig taenia cecum by $50 \%$. Each value indicates the mean $\pm$ SEM ( $n=4-10$ ). Diltiazem was used as the standard compound; $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.25 \pm 0.08 \mu \mathrm{M}$. ${ }^{b}$ Yield for production of target compound from immediate precursor, 5a,b. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{Et}=\mathrm{EtOH} ; \mathrm{Me}=\mathrm{MeOH} ; \mathrm{Wt}=$ water; $\mathrm{Ac}=\mathrm{AcOEt} .{ }^{d} \mathrm{~A}$ satisfactory $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, and N analysis for all compounds. ${ }^{e}$ Hydrogen oxalate. ${ }^{t}$ Hydrogen fumarate.
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